1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 12	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP  SONAL N. MEHTA (SBN 222086) Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com 2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400 Palo Alto, California 94306 Telephone: (650) 858-6000  DAVID Z. GRINGER (pro hac vice) David.Gringer@wilmerhale.com ROSS E. FIRSENBAUM (pro hac vice) Ross.Firsenbaum@wilmerhale.com 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York, New York 10007 Telephone: (212) 230-8800  Attorneys for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.	ARI HOLTZBLATT (pro hac vice) Ari.Holtzblatt@wilmerhale.com MOLLY M. JENNINGS (pro hac vice) Molly.Jennings@wilmerhale.com PAUL VANDERSLICE (pro hac vice) Paul.Vanderslice@wilmerhale.com 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037 Telephone: (202) 663-6000  MICHAELA P. SEWALL (pro hac vice) Michaela.Sewall@wilmerhale.com 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000
13		
14		DICEDICE COURT
15		DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN JOSE	DIVISION
17		•
	MAXIMILIAN KLEIN, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 3:20-cv-08570-JD
18		Case No. 3:20-cv-08570-JD  [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE
18 19	themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
18 19 20	themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE
18 19 20 21	themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL
18 19 20 21 22	themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED
18 19 20 21 22 23	themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED
118   119   220   221   222   223   224	themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED
118   119   220   221   222   223   224   225	themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED
117 118 119 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED

## Case 3:20-cv-08570-JD Document 524-1 Filed 04/17/23 Page 2 of 2

1	Defendant's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should
2	Be Sealed, filed in connection with the concurrently filed discovery letter brief, dated April 17
3	2023 ("Letter Brief"), is now before the Court. Upon consideration, this Court [GRANTS /
4	DENIES] the Administrative Motion.
5	Accordingly, [all / the following portions] of the Letter Brief shall [be unsealed / remain
6	under seal].
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	
10	Dated: The Honorable James Donato
11	United States District Court Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 1 -
	1